Eddy Current Solver in LS-DYNA

lñaki Çaldichoury

Pierre L'Eplattenier

Trang Nguyen





- Introduction :
 - What is the LS-DYNA EM solver ?
 - Eddy Current Principles and base input
- Applications :
 - Magnetic Metal Forming, Welding or Bending
 - Electromagnetic Launchers
 - Magnet snapping
 - Stranded coils, Magnetostatics and Actuators
 - Inductive heating
 - Non-Linear temperature dependent magnetic curves
 - Frequency based Eddy current solver



Introduction : What is the LS-DYNA EM solver ?



EM solver terminology

- Electromagnetics capabilities in LS-DYNA are all solvers contained within the 'EM solver' (keywords starting with *EM).
- Several EM solvers are available. They can be broadly divided in two categories : Eddy current solvers and Resistive solvers.
- Main feature of Eddy current solvers :
 - FEM-BEM system i.e no air mesh is necessary to model the interaction between conductors.
 - Coupling with structural and thermal solvers is straightforward and data transfer is seamless.
- This makes it a powerful proposition for all applications involving moving or deforming structures including :
 - Magnetic Metal forming, bending and welding
 - Electromagnetic Launchers (Railgun, coilguns)
 - Magnets snapping and magnet interactions
 - Actuators and D.C motors
 - Inductive heating



Executable info and recommendations

- EM solver is available on double precision executables only.
- Available with SMP and MPP executables. Does not scale using the SMP executable => Recommend switching to MPP.
- Dynamic memory handling i.e 'memory=..M' command has not effect on the EM part.
- Examples available on dynaexamples.com. LS-DYNA Multiphysics youtube channel has tutorial videos.
- Avoid R11.0/ R12.0 etc executables. Prefer R11.1, R11.2, R12.1 versions that are often more stable. Look for revision number (d3hsp, messag) to determine correct executable to use.

Warning : the revision number is not enough, and the branch name must be associated to it for e.g R12 revision 120001 and R11 revision 120002 may appear close but be completely different.

• Description of EM keywords available in Vol III of Keyword manual.





EM solvers evolution (non exhaustive)

Eddy Current solvers

Resistive Solvers

| Transient | Inductive heating | Resistive | Battery module |
|--|--|---|---|
| R11 : Available. Main use in Magnetic metal forming | R11 : Basics | R11 : Available | R11 : N/A |
| R12 : Improvements on EM contact for Electromagnetic launchers | R12 : Basics | R12 : Addition of 2D-axi solver. Shell/beams/solids can be conductors | R12 : First release |
| R13 : Addition of magnet simulation capabilities | R13 : Addition of ferromagnets with linear permeabilities (for flux concentrators) | R13 : WIP on coupling with ICFD solver. | R13 : Addition of capabilities based on user feedback |
| R14 : Magnetostatics enhanced capabilities for actuators and magnet simulations | R14 : Support of non linear B-H curves | R14 : Introduction of Electrophysiology capabilities | R14 : Thermal exothermal reaction model |
| R15 : Introduction of a frequency based Eddy current solver for inductive heating and other app. | R15 : Support of non linear B-H curves that are temperature dependent | R15 : Introduction of a complex solver for Radiofrequency heating | R15 : Better erosion criteria in solid model |





- Solvers such as the EM solver and the thermal solver are integrated in the main LS-DYNA trunk and are called in sequence during the solid mechanics solve.
- Each solver retains its own timestep which must be equal or higher than the solid mechanics timestep.
- The Solid mechanics solver can pass information such as element positions, velocities, deformations and the Electromagnetic solver can feed back forces and/or powers.
- A solid mechanics problem must always be defined before calling the EM solver, even if all parts are rigid.



Basic keyword structure

- Before running any EM problem, it is important to properly define the solid mechanics keywords
- LS-DYNA keyword structure is centered around the concept of "PART"s and "CONTROL" tools that control the various modelling options.
- For an EM problem, the keywords *EM_CONTROL, *EM_CONTROL_TIMESTEP and *EM_MAT will always be present.



The Unit System

- The keyword structure relies on the user to keep unit consistency (certain GUIs that support LS-DYNA's input format can assist the user).
- For the Electromagnetic solver, vacuum permeability is a constant $\mu_0 = 4$. $\pi e 7$ that cannot be changed by the user.
- This results in a "shifted" EM unit system where the fundamental S.I unit for electromagnetics, the Ampere, has been replaced by the "Henry/meter". All EM quantities must therefore be adjusted accordingly.

Example : if meters represent the fundamental distance unit [L], seconds represent the fundamental time unit [T] and kilograms represent the fundamental mass unit [M], then if we define Henry/m as [U], current can be expressed as :

Ampere = [M]^0.5 [L]^0.5 [T]^-1 [U]^-0.5

and voltage as :

Voltage = [M]^0.5 [L]^1.5 [T]^-2 [U]^0.5

In practice, this shifted unit system simplifies the input. With a constant permeability (and therefore constant [U]), all EM
quantities can be expressed as combinations of mass, distance and time without having a "fourth" unit to adjust.

The Unit System

• The table below can be used as guidance. It works the following way. Once a unit system has been chosen, multiply the input quantities by the inverse of the table values, and then multiply the solver outputs by the table values to recover S.I (kg/m/s) results.

| Quantities | Units | g/mm/s | g/mm/ms | Tons/mm/s |
|-------------------|-------------|--------|---------|-----------|
| Energy | Joule (J) | 1.e-9 | 1.e-3 | 1.e-3 |
| Force | Newton (N) | 1.e-6 | 1. | 1. |
| Pressure/Stress | Pascal (Pa) | 1. | 1.e6 | 1.e6 |
| Conductivity | Siemens (S) | 1.e6 | 1.e3 | 1.e6 |
| Current | Ampere (A) | 1.e-3 | 1. | 1. |
| Voltage | Volts (V) | 1.e-6 | 1. | 1.e-3 |
| Inductance | Henry (H) | 1.e-3 | 1.e-3 | 1.e-3 |
| Resistance | Ohm (Ω) | 1.e-3 | 1. | 1.e-3 |
| Magnetic flux (B) | Tesla (T) | 1. | 1.e3 | 1.e3 |
| Capacity | Faraday (F) | 1.e3 | 1.e-3 | 1.e3 |
| Charge | Coulomb (C) | 1.e-3 | 1.e-3 | 1. |



Introduction : Principles of Eddy Currents and EM solver



Eddy currents

- Eddy currents is a term used to characterize electromagnetic phenomena occurring under certain conditions.
- An alternating or fast rising current source will generate a magnetic field in its surrounding environment that can
 induce currents in nearby conductors and also cause the diffusion of this current in the surrounding conductors as
 well as in itself. This combination is referred as "inductive diffusive effects".
- When current diffuses through the thickness of a conductor, it follows an exponential law : $\frac{J}{J_{surf}} = e^{-\frac{y}{\delta}}$.





Eddy currents

- For diffusive effects to be noticeable, the total thickness of the conductor must be bigger than the decay rate δ called ٠ skin depth => Fundamental rule of Eddy currents.
- The value of the decay rate a.k.a skin depth is usually well approximated by : $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi f \mu \sigma}}$ ٠

f: current frequency (or rising time) μ :permeability σ :conductivity



In order to capture this current diffusion, it is mandatory to have a mesh which is fine enough. Generally, it is recommended to have at least three elements in the first layer δ closest to the conductors' surface.





Case Study – Basic Input deck

Conducting Rod with source current







Conducting Rod with source current

- Use the MS GUI and MS ASCII Icon to extract EM quantities and plot results associated to circuits
- Take a look at the circuit resistance and compare it to Ohm's law. In your opinion, why is the result not the same (answer : because Eddy currents are present i.e current density is not a constant) ?
- Notice the MS_Shell part ? This is the internal Boundary Element Mesh the solver has automatically built.
- Use the right click on Part 1 to create section planes, vectors, streamlines and extract data on nodes/points.





- The imposition of a source voltage or source voltage is done via the introduction of the EM_CIRCUIT keyword.
- Imposing a voltage requires to solve an additional equation on the scalar potential (With Dirichlet conditions applied at the boundaries, SIDIN and SIDOUT).
- Imposing a current implies defining a segment set (See SIDCURR in EM_CIRCUIT) where a global current condition will be applied by adding a Dirichlet constraint to the BEM system (SIDIN and SIDOUT are simply removed from the BEM mesh).
- When defining a EM_CIRCUIT, the definition of SIDCURR also allows to retrieve additional output quantities in *em_circuit.dat*.
- SIDCURR can be defined as any segment set through which the entirety of the current will flow. For convenience reasons, SIDCURR is often defined as equal to SIDOUT but consequently, boundary effects can be present in the output quantities provided by *em_circuit.dat*.



EM Circuit data ResistD, Voltage, Charge, ResistJ, Mutual1, time, Current, Induct, Muti 0.1000000E-04 0.00000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.00000000E+00 0.62831750E+0 0.0000000E+00 0.61203469E+00 0.2000000E-04 0.38455211E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.13092821E+01 0.30000000E-04 0.16452816E+01 0.0000000E+00 0.12566288E+01 0.0000000E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.00000000E+00

ResistD : Computed by solving a Laplace equation $S(\sigma) = 0$ with Dirichlet conditions $\varphi = 1$ and $\varphi = 0$ on SIDIN and SIDOUT. Current is retrieved by integrating $\vec{j} = -\sigma \nabla \vec{\varphi}$ over SIDCURR. Ohm's law is applied to retrieve ResistD.

The output of ResistD corresponds to Pouillet's law for the Resistance : $R = \frac{L}{\sigma A}$. It does not consider Eddy current/skin depth effects.

This output is only calculated when the stiffness matrix *S* is assembled i.e in imposed voltage cases. Otherwise, a 0 value will be returned.



EM Circuit data ResistJ, Charge, time, Voltage, Current, ResistD, Induct, Mutual1, Muti 0.1000000E-04 0.00000000F+00 0.00000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.00000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.61203469E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.2000000E-04 0.62831750E+00 0.38455211E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.3000000E-04 0.16452816E+01 0.0000000E+00 0.12566288E+01 0.0000000F+00 0.13092821E+01 0.29587592F

ResistJ : After the full Eddy current problem is solved the current density \vec{j} is retrieved. The total Joule heat rate can be extracted by $P_{JHR} = \int_{Volume} \frac{\vec{j}^2}{\sigma} dV$. The flux through SSIDCURR allows to retrieve a current I and ResistJ can be retrieved with $R_j = \frac{P_{JHR}}{I^2}$

Since it takes into account the skin effects, this output is a more realistic measure of the resistance the conductor is experiencing with those particular settings.

Provided the current is fully diffused through the conductors and SIDCURR is defined far enough from SIDIN/SIDOUT, ResistJ and ResisD should become very close.



EM Circuit data Induct, Mutual1, Voltage, Charge, ResistD, ResistJ, time, Current, Mut 0.0000000E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.1000000E-04 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.61203469E+00 0.2000000E-04 0.0000000E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.38455211E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.62831750E+00 0.13092821E+01 0.3000000E-04 0.16452816E+01 0.0000000E+00 0.12566288E+01 0.0000000E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.0000000E

Induct : Defined as the flux of the magnetic field over the surface divided by the current :



Stoke's theorem : transform
magnetic flux integration into vector potential integration.

A special solve on the BEM system P k = D a allows to recover L I and therefore L.

A similar solve is done to estimate the mutual inductances between the different circuits (up to three are written in the ascii file).



EM Circuit data time, Voltage, Charge, Current, ResistJ, Induct, Mutual1, ResistD, Muti 0.0000000E+00 0.1000000E-04 00000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.00000000E+00 0.38455211E+00 0.2000000E-04 0.62831750E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.61203469E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 0.29587592E-02 0.12566288E+01 0.3000000E-04 0.0000000E+00 0.16452816E+01 0.0000000E+00 0.13092821E+01 0.29587592E-02 0.0000000E+00

Current : Is calculated by integrating the current density over SIDCURR.

Charge : Only calculated in R,L,C circuit configuration and is solved by the R,L,C circuit equations.

Voltage : $V = R_D I + L \frac{dI}{dt}$. Note that in imposed current cases, only the $L \frac{dI}{dt}$ component will be calculated since the equation for R_D is not solved.



Outputting global energies

- In addition to the circuit output, it is possible to output EM global energy values using the keyword EM_DATABASE_GLOBALENERGY.
- The electromagnetic energy contributions can be divided into three components (respectfully *Mesh.JH*, *Mesh.Mag* and *Air.Mag*):
 - Joule heat : $E_{JHR} = \int \int_{Volume} \frac{\vec{j}^2}{\sigma} dV dt$ with \vec{j} the current density and σ the conductivity.
 - Magnetic Energy : $E_{Mag} = \int_{Volume} \frac{\vec{B}^2}{2\mu} dV$ with \vec{B} the magnetic flux and μ the permeability.
 - Air Magnetic Energy : $E_{air} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{Ncircuit} \sum_{j}^{Ncircuit} M_{ij} I_i I_j$ with M_{ij} the Mutual inductance between circuit *i* and circuit *j*.
- If a R,L,C circuit is defined, additional energies (capacitor energy, external joule heat and external magnetic energy) coming from the circuit equations are calculated and output.
- The ASCII file also outputs the mechanical Internal Energy as well as the Kinetic Energy.

The EM solver uses finite elements to solve the EM fields within conductors (FEM) but the interaction between conductors is handled by a boundary elements method (BEM) (double integral of Biot-Savart type). This results in a coupled FEM-BEM system.

In order to solve this BEM system, the EM solver will automatically create those boundary elements based on the surface mesh size of the conductors resulting in BEM surface parts, accessible in the d3plots.

This means that no air mesh is necessary.





External source field and B field measurement in the air

- It is also possible to directly impose an external magnetic field on conductors via the keyword EM_EXTERNAL_FIELD. This field is uniform in space but can vary through time.
- It is commonly encountered in verification cases (T.E.A.M 4 problem, T.E.A.M 11 problem).
- The EM_DATABASE_POINTOUT keyword is used to measure the magnetic flux in the air (equivalent to a Hall probe). Since the solver uses a BEM approach with no air mesh, a Biot-Savard calculation is done by the solver to retrieve the values of the magnetic field in the air. Vacuum permeability is assumed.
- This output calculation will add a small extra cost to the total calculation times.
- If the point falls in the mesh, an automatic search will be done by the solver to find in which element the point belongs to and assign the elemental values to it.

Available solvers

- Two approaches are available to solve Eddycurrent problems : The Richardson scheme and the Monolithic scheme.
- The Richardson method is an iterative solve, where the FEM and BEM systems are assembled separately and solved via an iterative loop.
- The solving time per timestep is low when using this approach. However, the timestep is bounded by the CFL condition on Eddy current diffusion ($\Delta t \leq \frac{l^2}{2D}$, $D = \frac{1}{\mu\sigma}$) and it cannot handle ferromagnetic materials or permanent magnets $(\mu = \mu_0)$.
- For this reason, this approach is mainly used in applications that involve a rapid electric pulse or fast rising current such as metal forming, bending or welding.



EM_SOLVER_FEMBEM : Controls the tolerance and number of iterations during the iterative loop. (Default values are usually enough)



Available solvers

- For the monolithic solve, the FEM and BEM matrix assemblies are used to populate a global matrix that is solved in a single step (using either MINRES or GMRES iterative methods).
- Its cost per timestep is higher but it allows higher timesteps and, more importantly it allows to solve ferromagnetic materials.
- Since its first introduction in R12, its capabilities have been further extended in each new version and it is now used in various problems such as magnet snapping, magnetic gears, magnetostatic analysis, actuators, crack detection etc.



EM_SOLVER_FEMBEM_MONOLITHIC : Turns on the Monolithic solve (default solver is Richardson solve) and controls the tolerance and number of iterations during the monolithic solve.

Case Study – T.E.A.M 1

The Felix Cylinder problem

- A conducting cylinder is in placed in a uniform magnetic field.
- The applied field varies with time as a step function, requiring a fully transient solution.
- The objective is to measure the current and Power Loss function of time.
- This example is an application example of EM_CIRCUIT_ROGO and EM_DATABASE_PARTDATA.
- The Richardson solve or the Monolithic solve can be used and different timesteps can be selected to study the impact of stability and solution time.





Case Study – T.E.A.M 4

The Felix Brick problem

- An aluminium is in placed in a uniform magnetic field.
- The applied field varies with time as a step function, requiring a fully transient solution.
- The objective is to measure the current and Power Loss function of time.
- This example is an application example of EM_CIRCUIT_ROGO.
- Similarly to the T.E.A.M 1 problem the Richardson solve or the Monolithic solve can be used and different timesteps can be selected to study the impact of stability and solution time.





Case Study – T.E.A.M 11

Hollow Sphere

- A hollow conducting sphere in placed in a uniform magnetic field.
- The applied field varies with time as a step function, requiring a fully transient solution.
- The objective is to measure the magnetic flux in and outside the sphere at different instants and compare to the analytical solution.
- This example is an application example of EM EXTERNAL FIELD and EM_DATABASE_POINTOUT.



Z (mm) (X=Y=0)

_2



3z (Tesla)

0.3 0.2-0.1

Focus on Magnetic Metal Forming



MMF principles

- A conducting coil induces electrical currents (Eddy currents) in the workpiece.
- This creates a strong electromagnetic force pushing the workpiece against the die.
- It is a high velocity forming process where:
 - The forming limits can be significantly increased (80%).
 - The springback is reduced.
 - The wrinkling is reduced.
 - Shock hardening of the material.
 - The amount of energy can be tightly controlled.
 - High reproducibility.
 - No contact between the coil and the workpiece (one sided die+no friction)







MMF principles

- The Lorentz forces generated by the coil result in intense and fast deformations in the workpiece = > strong non linear Multiphysics required.
- Contrary to solid mechanics, EM fields are in the surrounding air too
 ! However, due to the strong deformations, meshing this air domain
 would prove to be inadequate especially for handling small gaps,
 contact between conductors and complex geometries = > FEM/BEM
 method is the answer.
- In a FEM/BEM method, the Eddy currents are solved in the conductors and the interaction between conductors (coil/workpiece) is done using boundary integrals (BEM) => huge simplification of the input for the user.





Let us consider a conductor carrying a source circuit i.e a coil which generates a magnetic field.

If the nearby workpiece is made of an insulator material or if the skin depth is a lot higher than its thickness, then the magnetic field will 'ignore' that workpiece and the magnetic field lines will flow through.





However, if the skin depth of the workpiece is lower than its thickness, then the magnetic field line's path is deviated, and an induced current appears that will generate its own magnetic field. The Eddy currents are the combination of those inductive-diffusive effects.





The EM solver uses finite elements to solve the EM fields within conductors (FEM) but the interaction between conductors is handled by a boundary elements method (BEM) (double integral of Biot-Savart type). This results in a coupled FEM-BEM system.

In order to solve this BEM system, the EM solver will automatically create those boundary elements based on the surface mesh size of the conductors resulting in BEM surface parts, accessible in the d3plots.

This means that no air mesh is necessary.





Coupling between conductors

- The Electromagnetic force generated by the Eddy currents is called the Lorentz force. It is equal to the cross product of the current density vector and the magnetic flux vector. It acts as an external force applied to the solid elements.
- The Electromagnetic heating is called the Joule heating. It is proportional to the current density squared divided by the electric conductivity. It acts as an external heat source term in the heat equation solve.



Coupling between conductors

- The Lorentz force is automatically transferred to the solid mechanics solver. No extra keyword is necessary. This is true whether the solid mechanics explicit or implicit solvers are used.
- In a similar way, if the thermal problem is defined and the thermal solver is turned on, the joule heating term will automatically be applied as a heat source.
- R12 and more recent versions allow to turn off this coupling by using *EM_CONTROL_COUPLING.


FEM/BEM system considerations

- One important point to consider is the periodic recomputation of the FEM and BEM systems which, by default, are only set up once during the initialization phase.
- For example, if the conductors deform and their meshes become distorted, then it becomes important to recompute the FEM system regularly to avoid inaccuracies.
- If the conductors deform or move in respect to one another, then it becomes important to recompute the BEM system.
- In an ideal scenario, the FEM/BEM systems would be recomputed at every timestep. However, this would prove to be too costly (especially the BEM system which consists of dense matrices). So, it is up to the user to set reasonable values, compromising between accuracy and speed.
- The classic way of defining those recomputation frequencies is by using field 7 and 8 of *EM_CONTROL. Alternatively, and, starting with R12, an automatic criteria can be defined using *EM_CONTROL_SOLUTION.



EM equation of state

- It is also possible to consider the changes of the electric conductivity due to a temperature increase via the introduction of an EM EOS. The keyword *EM_EOS_... Is associated to the keyword *EM_MAT_001.
- The simplest type of EOS simply requires the user to specify a load curve which gives how the conductivity should vary function of temperature (See EM_EOS_TABULATED1). However, more complex EOS types exist (See EM_EOS_MEADON). It is also possible to use a user defined EOS via the introduction of a DEFINE_FUNCTION (See EM_EOS_TABULATED2).
- In order for the EM solver to take into account the conductivity changes, it becomes necessary to periodically recompute the FEM system.







Choice available in *EM_SOLVER_FEM. Direct solve is usually preferred for small problems, the switch to an interative solve is warranted in large problems to reduce memory

Iterative FEM-BEM solve (Richardson method). Tolerances can be controlled in *EM_SOLVER_FEMBEM. Recommendation is to leave default values and to favor other criteria (mesh quality, matrice tolerances, timestep) to improve analysis. Choice available in *EM_SOLVER_BEM. Default solve options are recommended. Tolerances can be lowered for more accuracy.



The BEM matrix assemblies



 Small terms of BEM matrices can be removed to reduce memory cost and matrix assembly times. This will however
 Small blocks

 Small terms of BEM matrices can be removed to reduce memory cost and matrix assembly times. This will however
 : low tolerance value in *EM_SOLVER_BEMMAT



Larger blocks : higher tolerance value in *EM_SOLVER_BEMMAT



Two BEM matrices make up the BEM system in the classic Richardson approach, the P and the Q matrices. See Theory manual



impact accuracy and robustness. See *EM_SOLVER_BEMMAT

relative to each other

Choice available in *EM_SOLVER_BEM. Default solve options are recommended. Tolerances can be lowered for more accuracy.



or if conductivity varies

Interaction between conductors - Summary

- No air mesh means that it is easier to have motion of conductors where no potential air mesh deformations and remeshing can occur or are needed. This is very useful in cases with very fast movements and deformations of conductors (forming, welding, bending..)
- Easier to handle small gaps between conductors or complex conductor shapes.
- No artificial approximations at the simulation zone boundary.
- Optimization techniques such as low rank approximation during the iterative solve are implemented to deal with the BEM dense matrices. Their size can be further reduced by user-controlled keywords.
- Any quantity extracted in the air (magnetic field value or filed line plotting) becomes a post treatment feature.
- Seamless coupling with the structure. Lorentz force is passed to the structure and mechanical properties and displacements are retrieved
- Joule heating is passed to the thermal solver and Temperatures are retrieved.
- Periodic recomputing of FEM and BEM matrices are controlled by the user.



Electromagnetic forming application – control keyword



//nsys

Electromagnetic forming application – timestep keyword



The user has selected an automatic EM timestep. The EM solver will use the Eddy current CFL number to estimate a stable timestep value :

tstype

 $\Delta t \leq \frac{l^2}{2D}$

I is the characteristic mesh size and D is the diffusion coefficient function of permeability and conductivity :

$$D=\frac{1}{\mu\sigma}$$



Electromagnetic forming application – material definition





Case Study – EM forming

Electromagnetic forming application – source current





Ansys

Electromagnetic forming application – optimization

btype

The user has decided to apply this keyword to the upper surface of the workpiece. This removes those faces of the BEM mesh and therefore saves some calculation time. This can be done in regions where the effects of the magnetic field are small (typically on faces facing away from coils or other magnetic field sources)

*EM_BOUNDARY

ssid





Electromagnetic forming application – monitoring

A very useful keyword to use in order to monitor the analysis is EM_OUTPUT. Setting up the first four flags to 2 will output information about the EM solve and matrix assembly in both the messag file and the terminal output



• 41 t 8.2000E-05 dt 2.00E-06 electromagnetism step

FEM BEM system

Number of iterations of the

- EM-BEM iter # 1/ah= 0.1295009E+04,%v= 0.1000E+01,ks= 0.1698667E+09,%v= 0.3681E+00,pcglt 125,tot 5906,EMTime 8.20E-05,mechTime 8.20E-05
- EM-BEM iter # 2,ah 0.1301137E+04,%v= 0.1454E-01,ks= 0.1645545E+09,%v= 0.4218E-01,pcglt 32,tot 5938,EMTime 8.20E-05,mechTime 8.20E-05
- EM-BEM iter # 3,ah= 0.1301134E+04,%v= 0.9004E-03,ks= 0.1650322E+09,%v= 0.5921E-02,pcglt 10,tot 5948,EMTime 8.20E-05,mechTime 8.20E-05
- 2691 t 8.3978 5-05 dt 2.88 E-08 write d3plot file 🚽 01/05/18 10:19:38

Residuals of the FEM BEM system, needs to decrease and reach tolerance criteria



Electromagnetic forming application – shells

- The workpiece on other conductors can also be modelled using shell elements.
- The EM solver will reconstruct an internal mesh with a user specified number of elements and based on the shell thickness defined in *SECTION_SHELL. The *EM_MAT_001 must be replaced by *EM_MAT_004.
- A single value of the force (integrated over the thickness) and joule heating will be passed to the structure and thermal. Results can be less accurate in cases involving a strong diffusion gradient.
- The choice of using shells must be driven by solid mechanics or thermal solver considerations. No gain (accuracy, solve times) is expected on the EM solve.





Case Study – Tube expansion

Using *EM_BOUNDARY to provide consistent circuit boundary conditions

- The coil in this application is hollow. Two closed loops can be formed (one inner, one outer) at the intersection of the inlet segment set faces and the rest of the BEM surface mesh.
- The boundary condition imposed by *EM_CIRCUIT relies on providing one and only one intersection between the boundary faces and the BEM mesh.
- Consequently, in such configurations, the user must remove faces from the BEM system.
- Typically, this is applied to the internal surface faces of the coil due to the weak contribution of the magnetic field on the external coil-workpiece interactions. See *EM_BOUNDARY.

Coil inlet. See *EM_CIRCUIT and *EM_BOUNDARY





Case Study – Tube expansion

Using *EM_CONTROL_SOLUTION to recompute FEM/BEM matrices

ncylfem

- The user has selected an automatic ٠ criteria for the FEM BEM recomputations.
- Check in the output messag file when the ٠ P and Q matrices are being recomputed.







- In the previous parts, we saw a typical metal forming application involving a workpiece and a coil. The main part of the EM solve was spent in the BEM matrix assembly and we saw some techniques to reduce the size of the problem or improve the speed.
- However, this case also exhibits a particular feature which is encountered in metal forming application from time to time but more frequently in tube expansion problems : a near perfect axisymmetry.
- For such problems, it might be interesting to switch to the EM axisymmetric solver.



- The axisymmetric feature has been developed in order to simplify certain types of cases and save some calculation time .
- Since LS-DYNA is primarily a 3D code, where most of the features are available only in 3D, it was decided to couple the EM-2D with the LS-DYNA's 3D solver.
- The user needs to provide a slice of the full 3D mesh (with symmetry mechanical boundary conditions) and a segment set to define the plane where the EM-2D is done for each conducting part.
- Once the EM fields are computed in 2D on this plane, results are reported over the full 3D mesh by rotations around the axis.
- Coupling with the thermal and solid mechanics solvers again happens automatically.
- The axi-symmetric solver is usually very fast and can in some cases give a quick and good approximation of the final solution.



- The user can define any rotation axis. See EM_ROTATION_AXIS keyword.
- For every EM axi-symmetric part, a user defined ratio of the full circle mesh has to be built. The ratio has to be a power of 2 (NUMSEC=4 means that the mesh represents one fourth of the full 360 circle). (*Ratio* = $360/(Angular extension) = 2^n$).
- A segment set has to go through the center plane for each EM axisymmetric part. This defines the plane where the 2D EM system is computed.

*EM_2DAXI





- It is also possible in the EM solver to impose a linear constraint on the global current between two circuits ($c_1i_1 + c_2i_2 = 0$). This allows the modelling of quasi-axisymmetric geometries such as pancake type or helix type coils combined with a R,L,C circuit by connecting the different turns together. Of course, the 3D effect of the winding coil can not be taken into account.
- In order to get the best results with a R,L,C circuit, the R,L and C of the coil are evenly spread between the different 2D circuits ($R_i = \frac{R}{N}$, $L_i = \frac{L}{N}$, $V0_i = \frac{V0}{N}$, $C_i = N C$).





***EM_CIRCUIT_CONNECT** (defined three times to connect four circuits)

| CONID | CONTYPE | CIRCID1 | CIRCID2 | C1 | C2 | |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|----|----|--|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | -1 | |
| CONID | CONTYPE | CIRCID1 | CIRCID2 | C1 | C2 | |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | -1 | |
| CONID | CONTYPE | CIRCID1 | CIRCID2 | C1 | C2 | |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | -1 | |





Case-Study Axisymmetric problem

A 2D axisymmetric forming problem

- Check the symmetry conditions on the solid mechanics side (SPCs).
- Check the choice of the R,L,C parameters and compare to the 3D case.
- Check the *EM_2DAXI keywords and *EM_ROTATION_AXIS
- See the *EM_CIRCUIT_CONNECT keywords





Focus on Electromagnetic launchers : railguns and coilguns





- A railgun is an electric gun using Eddy currents and electromagnetic forces in order to accelerate and launch projectiles at several times the speed of sound.
- In its most basic form, a railgun consists of two parallel metal rails connected to an electric power supply. When
 a projectile is inserted between the two bars, it provides a conductive path between the rails thus completing
 the circuit. The current flowing through the circuit generates a powerful magnetic field between the bars which
 in turn creates a Lorentz force applied on the projectile.
- For such problems, the EM solver needs to be capable of handling the current flow between the rails and the projectile.







©2020 Ansys, Inc. / Confidential

EM contact

- Once contact has been detected, the two systems (FEM and BEM) have to handle two conductor faces approaching each other.
- Boundary methods rely on the distance between faces to calculate electromagnetic interactions. If conductors
 come into contact, that distance becomes zero => special treatment for the BEM system.
- For the FEM system, boundary conditions are typically applied on the scalar potential. Those can be either constraint based or penalty based.





Contact between faces

• In order to turn on the EM contact detection the keyword *EM_CONTROL_CONTACT must be turned on.



EMCT=1 to turn on EM contact detection (search triggers extra calculation time)

CCONLY = 0 : Look for EM contact on all faces.

= 1 : Restrict search on local contacts defined by *EM_CONTACT keyword.



Contact between faces conditions



Contact - FEM system

• In order to turn on the EM contact detection the keyword *EM_CONTROL_CONTACT must be turned on.



CTYPE = -1 : Node to node contact based on constraints on the scalar potential (Basic recommended).

- = 0 : Node to node penalty based contact on the scalar potential (Not recommended).
- = 1 : Discrete mortar penalty contact on the scalar potential and vector potential (High accuracy but higher calculation times).
- = 2 : Continuous mortar penalty contact on the scalar potential and vector potential (Similar to 1).



Contact - FEM system

CTYPE = -1. Constraints method when the projected node of master face on slave face generates a new constraint added to the constraint matrix : $P_1 =$ $a_1A_1 + a_2B_1 + a_3C_1$ CTYPE = 0. Node to node penalty method where a high contact resistance inverse is added to the nodes detected in contact CTYPE = 1-2. Mortar contacts. The intersection polynomial between faces is reconstructed and accurate coefficients are distributed to the local face to face stiffness matrix before addition to the global stiffness matrix.





Contact – BEM system

- The EM solver uses a BEM method based on the Biot-Savart law in order to calculate the interaction between conductors. If two BEM mesh elements come into contact, this will lead to a divergence.
- Instead, when the electromagnetic contact detection is turned on, the EM solver will remove the faces that are
 on the contact surface from the BEM and internally stitch the two BEM surfaces together in order to achieve a
 continuous closed BEM mesh.
- A decent quality for the surface mesh around the contact area is recommended.





Contact – BEM system

The 'contact skirt' is rebuilt every time the BEM system is recomputed. Depending on the problem, a high recomputation frequency might be needed to ensure the stability of the calculation (See for example ncyclbem in *EM_CONTROL).





Case-Study Railgun example

A simple railgun input deck





/ Coilgun

- A coilgun (also known as Gauss gun) is a type of projectile accelerator consisting of one or more coils used as electromagnets that accelerate a ferromagnetic projectile to high velocity as it passes through the center of the coils.
- The main difference with the railgun is that there is no sliding contact. Instead of relying on eddy currents and a Lorentz force, the projectile is made of a ferromagnetic (i.e relative permeability ≠ 1.) material which generates sufficient magnetization force to propel the solid slug.





Coilgun

 In order to handle high permeability values, a more robust approach than the classic iterative coupling between the FEM and BEM systems is required. A switch needs to be made to a monolithic scheme which solves the two FEM and BEM system in a single unified approach. This approach is more robust and is capable of handling strong nonlinear permeabilities (BH curve). *EM_SOLVER_FEMBEM_MONOLITHIC replaces *EM_SOLVER_FEMBEM.





Case Study – Coilgun

The coil-modelled stranded conductors a.k.a

Source circuit

- Stranded Conductors are usually modelled as continuous cylinders with one segment set through its section.
- A uniform current can be imposed and will generate a magnetic field source on other conductors.
- The keyword is *EM_CIRCUIT_SOURCE and needs to be associated to a Part ID. The imposed current value is the Ampere-Turns value (for eg 1A and 203 turns means the value 203 needs to be imposed).





Case Study – Coilgun

The Projectile – Non linear permeability material => switch to magnetic surface force





SMCPL = 2 replaces the traditional Volumetric Lorentz Force by the Magnetic surface force, to be used in conjunction of the monolithic solver and to be preferred in cases involving non linear permeabilities.

SMCPL = 3 allows the solver to automatically trigger the most robust force calculation on the different conductors depending on their material properties.



Case Study – Coilgun

Turning on Monolithic solver



In addition to the traditional P and Q matrices, a third matrix W is being assembled in the case of the monolithic solver and its tolerances can again be adjusted in the keywords *EM_SOLVER_BEMMAT.

Focus on magnet simulations


Background

- Magnets used in modern electronics devices have seen a **multi-fold increase** in the recent past.
- **Simulation Engineer Pain-Point:** How to simulate the impact of two bodies resulting from magnetic force?
- The use of **simulation** in this context will reduce the cost and development time for such mechanisms while increasing the confidence in the design.
- No air mesh between conductors is crucial as it will allow magnets to interact with other magnets and conductors as well as freely translate and rotate in all directions.







Interaction between conductors

- The EM solver uses finite elements to solve the EM fields within conductors (FEM) but the interaction between conductors is handled by a boundary elements method (BEM) (double integral of Biot-Savart type). This results in a coupled FEM-BEM system.
- In order to solve this BEM system, the EM solver will automatically create those boundary elements based on the surface mesh size of the conductors resulting in BEM surface parts, accessible in the d3plots.
- This means that no air mesh is necessary.





Interaction between magnets/ferromagnets

- In order to solve the magnetic field generated by magnets, the FEM-BEM system must be solved using a monolithic approach rather than the classic iterative Richardson approach. See
 *EM_SOLVER_FEMBEM_MONOLITHIC.
- Magnets can be assigned a conductivity or can be defined with a "zero conductivity" in which case no Eddy current effects will be present. This is equivalent to a magnetostatic problem being solved at each timestep.
- In any case, the calculation of the magnetic force will not rely on Lorentz force but be driven by a magnetization force applied on the surface of the magnets or ferromagnets. Set *EM_CONTROL_COUPLING, SMCPL field to 2.





Monolithic EM solve

- FEM and BEM system are assembled and solved in a single matrix. This approach needs to be triggered by *EM SOLVER FEMBEM MONOLITHIC.
- The advantage of such an approach is robustness, higher timesteps can be used, varying permeability problems can be solved as well as magnet interactions.



Monolithic matrix assembly



Magnet definition

• A magnet needs to be defined by its relative permeability (often linear and close to 1.) as well as its coercive force a.k.a magnetization.



MID is a magnet ID associated to a part ID PID.

MTYPE says whether the magnet is defined by North/South segment/node sets or by a global vector orientation.

North/South are the two segment/node set IDs defining the two poles of the magnet

X/NID1, Y/NID2, Z are used for the global vector orientation when the corresponding MTYPE is selected.

HC is the coercive force of the magnet (Hc = Br/mu). Careful about units !



FEM/BEM system considerations

- As in classic Eddy current applications, one important point to consider is the periodic recomputation of the FEM and BEM systems which, by default, are only set up once during the initialization phase.
- For example, if the conductors deform and their meshes become distorted, then it becomes important to recompute the FEM system regularly to avoid inaccuracies.
- If the conductors move in respect to one another, then it becomes important to recompute the BEM system.
- In an ideal scenario, the FEM/BEM systems would be recomputed at every timestep. However, this would prove to be too costly (especially the BEM system which consists of dense matrices). So, it is up to the user to set reasonable values, compromising between accuracy and speed.
- The classic way of defining those recomputation frequencies is by using field 7 and 8 of *EM_CONTROL. Alternatively, and, starting with R12, an automatic criteria can be defined using *EM_CONTROL_SOLUTION.



Case Study – Lenz Experiment

Lenz experiment – magnet falling through copper tube

- This famous problem consist of a permanent magnet falling through a copper pipe. The temporal change of the magnetic field in the copper induces currents which themselves create an opposing magnetic field that slows the fall.
- Check magnet and solver properties. Notice the presence of *EM_SOLVER_FEMBEM_MONOLITHIC and *EM_CONTROL_COUPLING.
- The FEM matrix recomputation frequency (ncyclFEM) is set to a high value since no conductors deform while the BEM matrix recomputations (ncyclBEM) happen frequent since the magnet is moving.



Case Study – Lenz Experiment

Post-treatment :

- The North/south Poles will allow the solver to calculate a magnetization vector. Its orientation can be checked.
- The magnetic surface force that magnets apply on themselves and on other conductors can also be accessed
- For each magnet, an ASCII file is output "em_magnet_magid.dat" which gives various info on each magnet for e.g total magnetic force applied on the magnet.





Case Study – Magnet snapping

Magnet snapping







The solid mechanics contact must be precisely defined :

| *C0 \$# \$ | NTACT_AUT cid 1 | OMATIC_SUR | FACE_T0_SU | IRFACE_MPP | | | | title |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| , 1 \$# | ssid | msid | sstvp | mstvp | sboxid | mboxid | spr | mpr |
| Ψ" | 2 | 1 | 0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \$# | fs | fd | dc | VC | vdc | penchk | bt | dt |
| | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . 0 | 0.01. | 00000E20 |
| \$# | sfs | sfm | sst | mst | sfst | sfmt | fsf | vsf |
| | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| \$# | soft | sofscl | lcidab | maxpar | sbopt | depth | bsort | frcfrq |
| | Θ | 0.1 | Θ | 1.025 | 2.0 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| \$# | penmax | thkopt | shlthk | snlog | isym | i2d3d | sldthk | sldstf |
| | 0.0 | 1 | 2 | õ | 0 | Θ | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Case Study – Magnet snapping

Post :

Rcforc gives the contact force :





Case Study – Arago's disk

Principle :

- First established by François Arago in 1824, this experiment reflects the base concepts behind eddy current brake or motor applications.
- A horseshoe magnet spins around a conductor plate generating fast moving magnetic field lines in the plate's vertical direction. This, combined with the electrical conductivity properties of the plate will generate Eddy currents in the plate.
- This will generate an electromagnetic force (Lorentz force) which is orthogonal to both the magnetic field and the induced current (eddy current right-hand-side rule). This will induce a rotation in the plate albeit at a slower pace than the magnet rotation.







Input deck study :







××

*



Modified T.E.A.M 12 example :

- In the original configuration the beam's oscillations are triggered by an external field. See *EM_EXTERNAL_FIELD.
- In this modified version, two magnets are placed at the end of the beam and a third magnet is attached to the beam.
- An initial displacement is applied on the beam's tip. The magnets will interact with each other as well as with the beam to provide attraction/repulsive forces.
- This will generate deformations and oscillations in the elastic beam.
- Reminder : the EM solver can be coupled with either the implicit solid mechanics solver or the explicit solid mechanics solver, based on needs.

Modified version :

Original configuration :





Case Study – DC motor

Principle :

- A standard voltage of 12 V is applied between the two brushes of the motor.
- Permanent magnets located on each side of the armature cause the branches to start moving.
- The EM contact algorithm allows the current path to "switch" between the different branches and maintain the movement.
- The use of LS-DYNA FEM/BEM method to handle the electromagnetic fields as well as its integrated and automatic coupling with the structure makes it a powerful tool for such simulations





Case Study – DC motor

Post :



Increasing the complexity :

0:d3plot : LS-DYNA keyword deck by LS-PrePost : STATE 2 ,TIME 1.00000000E-04



Focus on stranded conductors, magnetostatics and actuators





- Stranded Coils refers to coils consisting of many fine turns of conducting wires.
- Since the cross-section of the wires is small compared to the skin depth, the eddy currents can be neglected and the magnitude of the current density within the wires can be considered constant.
- Numerically, the wires are modelled by a continuous cylinder and a uniform current or voltage can be imposed on its cross-section. This will generate a magnetic field source on other conductors.
- The keyword is *EM_CIRCUIT_SOURCE and needs to be associated to a Part ID. The imposed current value is the Ampere-Turns value (for eg 1A and 203 turns means the value 203 needs to be imposed).





EM timestep considerations

- Based on the Vector Potential equation, the CFL timestep for Eddy currents is :
- The EM solver is implicit so higher values can be allowed. However, by default, the coupled FEM-BEM system is solved in a partitioned way (Richardson approach) which puts a limit on how high the EM timestep can be.
- Contrary to magnetic metal forming which is a fast forming process (μs to ms), applications involving stranded coils often operate at lower frequency, and over longer periods of time.
- The EM solver provides the option to switch to a monolithic FEM/BEM solver. The cost per timestep is higher but the higher timesteps can be used.





Monolithic EM solve

- FEM and BEM system are assembled and solved in a single matrix. This approach needs to be triggered by *EM SOLVER FEMBEM MONOLITHIC.
- The advantage of such an approach is robustness, higher timesteps can be used, varying permeability problems can be solved as well as magnet interactions.



Monolithic matrix assembly



Case Study – TEAM 3 problem

Stranded Coil + workpiece

- Classic Eddy current benchmarking problem consisting of a stranded Coil and a workpiece with Eddy currents.
- Two configurations of the problem exist. One with the Richardson approach and one with the monolithic approach. Compare the two inputs, the choice of timestep compared to the circuit frequency as well as the cost per timestep.







2D axisymmetric levitation device

- Classic Eddy current benchmarking problem consisting of two stranded coils that generate Lorentz forces on a plate which counters gravity and brings the plate to an equilibrium position.
- Stranded coils can be modelled as well by applying the *EM_CIRCUIT_SOURCE to the mid-segment set







©2020 Ansys, Inc. / Confidential

Magnetostatics

- Stranded Coils are also frequently encountered in magnetostatic applications where they act as the main current source.
- Magnetostatics is the study of magnetic fields in systems where the currents are not considered or considered steady. Typically, such applications have a dominant magnetic material where the permeability is high ($\mu_r = \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \gg 1$.) or non linear (B-H curve).
- In order to define such a material, the user has to assign a "0." conductivity to the conductor and a relative permeability :





Magnetostatics

- The monolithic solver is the only solver capable of solving magnetostatic materials. It will automatically switch to a robust and state of the art AMS solver to handle the zero-conductivity regions.
- In cases involving non-linear materials, the cost for the initial convergence can be heavy. If the conductors do not change or move over time, all subsequent timesteps will then be fast since nothing will change.
- For a magnetostatic solve involving non-linear materials, it is recommended to activate the line search feature. This will allow the solver to better position itself along the BH curve during the iterations and reach a faster and more robust convergence. In non-magnetostatic cases, this line search is often not used since the evolution of the magnetic fields are mostly driven by small timestep increments.
- The BEM preconditioner solve of the monolithic BEM block becomes a key component of robustness and solving times. For non linear cases, it is recommended to switch to a LLT factorization approach. See EM_SOLVER_BEM.





Non-linear steel plates around stranded coils :

- As illustration of the magnetostatic capabilities, the T.E.A.M 13 problem will be considered. It consists of steel plates placed around a stranded coil with a constant current. The steel plates have highly non linear permeabilities making it a challenging computational problem.
- The objective is to study the magnetic flux in the plate sections after saturation has been achieved. This problem can therefore be modelled using a time dependent approach by considering the conductivity of the steel plates (current will diffuse through the thickness until steady state is achieved) or solving it directly using a magnetostatic approach (1 step solve).





Case Study – T.E.A.M 13

Keyword discussion :



\nsys

Case Study – T.E.A.M 13

Keyword discussion :





Magnetostatic results are timestep independent. Since the case is static (conductors do not move, the final result will be obtained after the first solve).



©2020 Ansys, Inc. / Confidential

Case Study – T.E.A.M 13

Keyword discussion :



Ansys

Case Study – T.E.A.M 13

Post :

- Results are almost identical between the time dependent and the magnetostatic solve.
- Look for "NL newton" in the message file. Compare results between magnetostatic and time dependent case.





Voltage driven source circuit

- As seen previously, if the stranded coil's current is known, it can be directly imposed in the cross-section of the stranded conductor.
- However, if the terminal voltage is specified in a given problem (e.g actuators) then the current is unknown.
- In this situation the current must be deduced from the specified voltage, the number of windings and the coil's total resistance. In our formulation, it is added as an unknown in the FEM/BEM system.
- Since the source circuit becomes part of the FEM/BEM system, the EM_CIRCUIT_SOURCE must be associated to a
 *EM_MAT type 2 instead of type 1 in the imposed current case.

Network equation added to system :

$$\dot{\varphi}(t) + RI(t) = V(t)$$

with *R* the coil resistance, V(t), the given voltage, I(t) the current (unknown) and $\varphi(t)$ the magnetic flux of the coil :

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{N_c}{S_c} \int \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} dx$$

With N_c the number of turns, S_c the section area of the coil, and $\int A \cdot \tau dx$ the scalar product of the vector potential and the current direction vector of the coil, integrated over the volume.





Case Study – Leonard & Rodgers benchmark

Voltage Driven coil

- Classic validation problem consisting of a voltage driven coil between two conducting plates (sigma=3.28e7 Siemens).
- Constant voltage of 20V, resistance of 12.4 Ohms and 700 turns.
- Objective is to predict the coil's current under the influence of the conducting plates.



Case Study – T.E.A.M 24

Model Setup :

- Classic validation problem consisting of a voltage driven coil between two conducting plates (sigma=3.28e7 Siemens).
- Constant voltage of 20V, resistance of 12.4 Ohms and 700 turns.
- Objective is to predict the coil's current under the influence of the conducting plates.





Case Study – T.E.A.M 24

LS-DYNA keyword deck by LS-PrePost Time = 0

Post :





©2020 Ansys, Inc. / Confidential

Focus on inductive heating





- Induction heating is the process of heating an electrically conducting object (usually a metal) by electromagnetic induction, through heat generated in the object by eddy currents. It has applications in diverse industries such as induction welding, inductive charging or even cancer therapy.
- Compared to MMF, the inductive heating process involves lower amplitude voltages but over a longer period of time => typical application involves AC current with frequency in the kHz~Mhz range and a total application time of several seconds.
- Numerically, special techniques must be developed, as solving each EM step over hundreds or thousands of periods would prove to be impractical.





Introduction

The inductive heating solver works the following way :

- After a sinusoidal current has been defined, a full Eddy Current problem is first solved on one full period using a "micro" EM time step.
- An **average of the EM fields and Joule heating energy** during this period is computed.
- It is then assumed that the properties of the material (heat capacity, thermal conductivity as well as electrical conductivity) do not significantly change over a certain number of oscillation periods delimited by a "macro" time step. No further EM calculation is done over the macro time step and the Joule heating is simply added to the thermal solver at each thermal time step.
- After reaching a "macro" timestep, a new cycle is initiated with a full Eddy Current resolution.
- This way, the solver can efficiently solve inductive heating problems involving a big amount of current oscillation periods.





Inductive Solver Keywords

*EM_CIRCUIT

| CIRCID | CIRCTYPE | LCID | R/F | L/A | С/Т0 | V0 | то |
|---------|----------|---------|-------|------|------|----|----|
| 1 | 11 | | 25.e3 | 200. | 0. | | |
| SIDCURR | SIDVIN | SIDVOUT | | | | | |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | | | | | |

| VARIABLE | DESCRIPTION | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Circtype | Circuit type: | | | |
| | EQ.11: Imposed AC current. | | | |
| | EQ.12: Imposed AC voltage. | | | |
| F/A/TO | Circuit Frequency, Amplitude and phase shift so that : I= A sin(2 π F (t-T0)) | | | |

In order to have F, A or TO vary in time, a load curve can used by defining a negative value for each of those quantities (the negative value will refer to the LCID).

Ansys
Inductive Solver Keywords

*EM_CONTROL

| EMSOL | NUMLS | | | |
|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| 2 | 100 | | | |

| VARIABLE | DESCRIPTION |
|----------|--|
| EMSOL | EM Solver: |
| | EQ.1: Eddy current solver. |
| | EQ.2: Inductive heating solver. |
| NUMLS | Number of local Eddy Current steps in a full current oscillation period => 'micro' EM step is defined as 1/(NUMLS*F) |

In order to have NUMLS vary in time, a load curve can used by defining a negative value for each of those quantities (the negative value will refer to the LCID).



The Macro Timestep

- After the initial solve over the period, a joule heating term is extracted and the analysis proceeds as pure structural thermal problem.
- The EM timestep in *EM_CONTROL_TIMESTEP becomes the EM macro timestep i.e the times where the analysis will stop and a new full Eddy current problem will be solved over one period. It only makes sense to define a macro timestep lower than the total time of the run if :
 - EM properties (conductivity, permeability) have changed function of temperature.
 - Mechanical displacement and/or deformation has occurred.
 - Circuit properties (Frequency, amplitude, etc) have changed in time.



The Average Joule heating

- By default, the solver solves the EM fields over two consecutive periods and only keeps the Joule heating term
 of the second one. This is because, as all fields are starting at 0., it often takes at least one period for the
 current amplitude and phase to achieve its correct oscillatory behavior. However, in certain configurations,
 more periods may be needed to achieve higher accuracy (or conversely, using two periods may be too
 conservative and good results may be achieved by directly taking the first period, in cases with little time shifts
 between the voltage and current oscillations for example).
- The number of periods to be solved can be controlled by the fifth flag of *EM_CONTROL.





The switch option

 The EM solver also features an option to turn on off the EM solve during the run (See *EM_CONTROL_SWITCH). In metal forming applications, it is used to study the mechanical deformation or springback analysis after the coil discharge. In Inductive heating applications, it can be used in combination with *DEFINE_CURVE_FUNCTION to implement simple temperature based sensors.

> *EM CONTROL SWITCH *DEFINE_CURVE_FUNCTION \$# lcid sidr s 007 \$ function value if(LC400,LC202,LC203,LC204) *DEFINE CURVE FUNCTION \$# lcid 400 \$ function value temp(8820)-40 *DEFINE_CURVE_FUNCTION lcid \$# 202 0. *DEFINE_CURVE_FUNCTION lcid \$# 203 1. *DEFINE CURVE FUNCTION \$# lcid 204 -1.



Case Study – Inductive heating

Plate moving through static coil



Case Study – Inductive heating

Post

 In inductive heating solution, the electromagnetic solver outputs average quantities over the period (check if Joule Heating happens in the right location) : Check the EM-IH step to track the Electromagnetic micro steps :

2 t 8.0000E-07 dt 4.00E-07 02-period EM-IH step 3 t 1.2000E-06 dt 4.00E-07 02-period EM-IH step 4 t 1.6000E-06 dt 4.00E-07 02-period EM-IH step 5 t 2.0000E-06 dt 4.00E-07 02-period EM-IH step 6 t 2.4000E-06 dt 4.00E-07 02-period EM-IH step 7 t 2.8000E-06 dt 4.00E-07 02-period EM-IH step 8 t 3.2000E-06 dt 4.00E-07 02-period EM-IH step 9 t 3.6000E-06 dt 4.00E-07 02-period EM-IH step

Average Joule heating :

Average Magnetic flux :

$$J_{avg} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T J_{ih} dt \qquad \qquad B_{avg} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T B_{ih}^2 dt}$$

• The most important post treatment quantity is the temperature !



The monolithic approach

- In many inductive heating applications, flux concentrators are used in the vicinity of the coil. They allow a better concentration of magnetic field lines and consequently a higher and more focused temperature rise.
- Those flux concentrators are usually made of ferrite which is a non-conductor material with high permeability values that are often non linear (BH curve needs to be defined). See *EM_MAT_002.
- For such applications, the user has to switch to the monolithic solver. See *EM_SOLVER_FEMBEM_MONOLITHIC to turn it on.





Turning on Monolithic solver



In addition to the traditional P and Q matrices, a third matrix W is being assembled in the case of the monolithic solver and its tolerances can again be adjusted in the keywords *EM_SOLVER_BEMMAT.

Flux concentrator material





BEM Preconditioner

For the monolithic approach, the diagonal block of the monolithic system (FEM-FEM plus BEM-BEM) is used as a preconditioner in the iterative solve. The parameters for the preconditioner solve are controlled by *EM_SOLVER_FEM and *EM_SOLVER_BEM respectfully.





Case Study – Pancake coil with flux concentrator

Hollow coil : Using *EM_BOUNDARY

- The coil in this application is hollow. Two closed loops can be formed (one inner, one outer) at the intersection of the inlet segment set faces and the rest of the BEM surface mesh.
- The boundary condition imposed by *EM_CIRCUIT relies on providing one and only one intersection between the boundary faces and the BEM mesh.
- Consequently, in such configurations, the user must remove the internal faces of the coil from the BEM system using *EM_BOUNDARY.
- The *EM_BOUNDARY keyword can also be used to save calculation time by removing faces from the BEM where it will not have a large impact on the magnetic field.





Case Study – Pancake coil with flux concentrator

Post :

- Check input for flux concentrator and check difference in results and calculation times with and without it.
- Check the choice of preconditioner in EM_SOLVER_BEM and the influence in calculation times.





2D Axisymmetric solver

- The axisymmetric feature has been developed in order to simplify certain types of cases and save some calculation time . Inductive heating cases where a helicoidal coil surrounds a cylindrical workpiece a common and could therefore be modelled using the 2D axisymmetric solver.
- Since LS-DYNA is primarily a 3D code, where most of the features are available only in 3D, it was decided to couple the EM-2D with the 3D LS-DYNA solver.
- This means that the user needs to provide a 3D mesh as well as, for each conducting part, a segment set to define the plane where the EM-2D is done. Once the EM fields are computed in 2D on this plane, they are just reported over the full 3D mesh by rotations around the axis.
- Coupling with the thermal solver again happens automatically.
- The axi-symmetric solver is usually very fast compared to its 3D equivalent.

2D Axisymmetric solver

- The user can define any rotation axis. See EM_ROTATION_AXIS keyword.
- For every EM axi-symmetric part, a user defined ratio of the full circle mesh has to be built. The ratio has to be a power of 2 (NUMSEC=4 means that the mesh represents one fourth of the full 360 circle). (*Ratio* = $360/(Angular extension) = 2^n$).
- A segment set has to go through the center plane for each EM axisymmetric part. This defines the plane where the 2D EM system is computed.

*EM_2DAXI





Case Study – 2D axisymmetric heating

Helicoidal Coil

- 2D axisymmetric cases in inductive heating usually involve a helicoidal coil around the object to be heated.
- 2D axisymmetric Inductive heating example with periodic recomputation of EM fields due to varying conductivity in the workpiece.
- This example uses the monolithic solver to solve the FEM/BEM system which allows to use higher timesteps.





Focus on Temperature dependent nonlinear magnetic material models (BH curves)



Temperature dependent BH curves

- Temperature effects can be taken into account when modelling nonlinear magnetic materials.
- The main application for this feature will be in inductive heating but it is applicable to any Eddy current problem featuring nonlinear magnetic materials.
- There are several approaches available to the user based on the complexity of the model or the amount of data he has available.
- The following feature is not limited to including temperature dependent effects, but in some cases can be used to include other effects such as von misses stress dependent nonlinear magnetic materials (magnetostriction).



Analytical approach

• EM_EOS_PERMEABILITY allows the user to define the B field behavior function of H using analytical laws :

EOSTYPE=7 - Atan Law : $B(H,T) = \mu_0 H + \frac{2B_s}{\pi} \operatorname{atan} \left(\frac{\pi}{2B_s} H \mu_0 (\mu_{r0} - 1.)\right) F(TEMP) SF$ EOSTYPE=8 - Froelich Law : $B(H,T) = \mu_0 H + H \frac{B_s}{1 + \mu_{r0}} F(TEMP) SF$

• F(TEMP) and SF are two optional coefficients that can be added and made function of temperature.



Analytical approach

• The *F*(*TEMP*) coefficient follows an exponential decay law and can be directly defined in the EM_EOS_PERMEABILITY keyword :



F(TEMP)=1. by default. If C defined, then the default scaling coefficient function of temperature becomes:

$$F(TEMP) = \max(0., 1. -exp^{\left(\frac{T-T_s}{C}\right)})$$



Analytical approach

• The SF scaling factor is defined in the second line of EM_MAT_002. It is a more general approach where the user can define his own temperature dependent law or even include different parameters e.g stress/strain (See EM_EOS_TABULATED and DEFINE_FUNCTION).





Scaled BH curve approach

- The SF scaling factor defined by EM_MAT_002 can also be used when the non linear magnetic material is defined by a BH curve given by the user (See EOSTYPE=1 in EM_EOS_PERMEABILITY).
- When defined, the scale factor will be applied the following way :

 $B(H,T) = \mu_0 H + G(H) SF$

- In other words, the nonlinear behavior of B(H,T) will be decomposed into a linear part $\mu_0 H$ and a nonlinear part G(H) SF with G(H) determined by the load curve given by the user and SF the scale factor as defined by the user. Thus, the physical behavior of B function of H will be retained when saturation occurs $(B \rightarrow \mu_0 H + M_s \text{ with } M_s \text{ saturation magnetization for very high H values}).$
- In order to avoid stability issues, it is recommended to set a minimum value for SF such that SF > 0.

Multiple BH curve inputs approach

- The final approach to define temperature dependent behavior is to directly input several BH curves for different temperature values using EOSTYPE=3 in EM_EOS_PERMEABILITY.
- When this approach is selected, for a given element temperature, the solver will do a linear interpolation between two given BH curves and locally reconstruct the corresponding spline.



*EM_EOS_PERMEABILITY

Input deck setup examples

• Summarizing the four different approaches, those four input deck setups should give very close or identical results :

Approach one : Using an analytical law and directly applying imbedded exponential decay coefficient law :

*EM EOS PERMEABILITY

| EOSID | EOSTYPE | LCID | BS | MUR0 | С | тс |
|-------|---------|------|-----|-------|-----|------|
| 1 | 7 | | 1.2 | 3500. | 50. | 360. |



Input deck setup examples

Approach two : Using the same analytical law but reproducing the temperature dependency behavior with the scaling factor available in EM_MAT_002 :





Input deck setup examples

Approach three : The user inputs the BH curve directly as a discretized load curve and applies the same scaling factor in EM_MAT_002 :



Ansys

Approach four : The user inputs several BH curves for the different temperature values:



*EM EOS PERMEABILITY

Load curve IDs pointing to BH curves



Focus on Frequency based Eddy current solver



Introduction

- As mentioned in the previous section the inductive heating solver is a time-based solver, where the EM fields are solved per small steps over one or several periods before an average can be calculated (and passed to the thermal solver in the case of Joule heating).
- For nonlinear magnetic materials such as previously described, this remains the unique way of solving.
- However, for materials that adopt a linear permeability, it can be convenient to adopt a frequency-based solver for Eddy currents. In this approach, the entire Eddy current problem is solved in one single step for a whole given period and averaged fields over the period can be directly retrieved for coupling with the mechanical or thermal solvers.
- This can allow users to save important amounts of calculation times, especially in problems with moving coils where the EM fields need to be updated and recomputed several times at different locations or at different material properties.
- Inductive heating is an obvious application, but frequency-based Eddy current solver are also used in other domains such as crack detections in pipes or over surfaces.



Inductive Solver Keywords

*EM_CONTROL

| EMSOL | NUMLS/F | | | |
|-------|---------|--|--|--|
| 4 | 50 | | | |

| VARIABLE | DESCRIPTION | |
|----------|--|--|
| EMSOL | EM Solver: | |
| | EQ.1: Eddy current solver. | |
| | EQ.2: Inductive heating solver. | |
| | EQ.4: Frequency based Eddy current solver. | |
| NUMLS/F | Frequency. A negative value will refer to a LCID to give a varying frequency function of the 'macro time'. | |



Inductive Solver Keywords

*EM_CIRCUIT (or *EM_CIRCUIT_SOURCE)

| CIRCID | CIRCTYPE | LCID | | то | |
|---------|----------|---------|--|----|--|
| 1 | 1 | | | | |
| SIDCURR | SIDVIN | SIDVOUT | | | |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | | | |

| VARIABLE | DESCRIPTION |
|----------|--|
| Circtype | Circuit type: |
| | EQ.1: Imposed Current. |
| | EQ.2: Imposed Voltage. |
| LCID | Load curve ID for circuit Amplitude function of 'macro time'. |
| ТО | Optional phase shift (in time value). A negative value will point to a LCID allowing this quantity to vary function of "macro time". |



Case Study – T.E.A.M 6

Hollow Sphere in oscillation magnetic field

- A hollow conducting sphere is placed in a magnetic field in the Z direction.
- The field is spatially uniform but varies with a given frequency. The objective is to measure the real and imaginary components of the magnetic flux in and outside the sphere.
- This example is the equivalent of the T.E.A.M 11 problem solved in the frequency domain.

| Inner Radius | 0.050 m |
|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Outer Radius | 0.055 m |
| B_y Amplitude | 1.0 <i>T</i> |
| Frequency | 50 <i>Hz</i> |
| Conductivity | 500. <i>e</i> ⁶ |



Case Study – T.E.A.M 6

Results



TEAM 6 : Magnetic Flux along z axis





©2020 Ansys, Inc. / Confidential

Case Study – T.E.A.M 2

Infinite Cylinder in a Uniform Sinusoidal Field

- Frequency Eddy current solver used.
- Cylinder modelled as 3D part (2 m long).
- The objective is to measure the magnetic flux amplitude and phase inside and outside the cylinder at different instants and compare to the analytical solution.

Power Loss and Forces time averaged on ¼ model

| Inner Radius | 0.05715 m |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Outer Radius | 0.06985 m |
| B_y Amplitude | 0.1 <i>T</i> |
| Frequency | 60 <i>Hz</i> |
| Conductivity | 25.38e ⁶ |





Case Study – T.E.A.M 2



-333.4

-370.7

-166.7

-143.9

2288.2

2260.3



//nsys

©2020 Ansys, Inc. / Confidential

Reference:

LS-DYNA:

Case Study – T.E.A.M 7

ASYMMETRICAL CONDUCTOR WITH A HOLE

- Frequency Eddy current solver used.
- Coil with imposed current (Amplitude and frequency given) over a conducting plate (conductivity given).
- The objective is to measure the magnetic flux real and imaginary parts along two lines in the air between the coil and the plate.

| Amplitude | 2742. AT |
|--------------|---------------------|
| Frequency | 50/200 Hz |
| Conductivity | 35.26e ⁶ |





Case Study – T.E.A.M 7





©2020 Ansys, Inc. / Confidential
Thank you

