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## Introduction

## Introduction

- Many materials have strength that are directional depended, this is e.g. the case for composite materials, low carbon deep drawing steels etc. These are anisotropic and orthotropic materials.
- Some materials that have this option are:
- *MAT_002, *MAT_022, *MAT_036, *MAT_054, *MAT_058
- And many more.....
- In e.g. Sheet Metal Forming, the rolling direction of the sheet is typically given as the $0^{\circ}$ direction and specimens for uniaxial tensile tests are done in $0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ to the rolling direction. This anisotropic material behavior is clearly seen when deep drawing e.g. cylindrical cups - the difference in drawing behavior is seen as "ears".


## Introduction

- The influence of anisotropic material behavior is clearly seen in conventional deep drawing of e.g. FeP04 low carbon steel.

[Moshfegh et. al, 1999]


## Introduction

- In order to give the material properties in the different directions, one need a material coordinate system, $a-b-c$. This is given at the *MAT card, at least as a first step, by the AOPT option, which has several different ways to define it.
- There are in total 14 flags that are related to specifying the material coordinate system. These are the AOPT flag itself, the point P , the vectors $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{D}$ and the angle BETA. These will be described in more details later.



## Introduction

nere are six different ways to specify the a-b-c coordinate system at the material cards (*MAT_). The best description is under *MAT_002 [Hallquist, 2007b]. The options are specific mentioned under the different sections that describes their features in details.


## Introduction

- Other options then rotates the material coordinate system specified by AOPT, e.g. a rotation angle can be specified. This can be done at:
- *SECTION_SHELL (ICOMP)
- *ELEMENT_SHELL_BETA
- *ELEMENT_SHELL_MCID
- *ELEMENT_SOLID_ORTHO (can also define a-axis)

Shell:


Solid:
 *ELEMENT_SOLID_ORTHO

## Introduction

As mentioned the coordinate system considered in these notes is the material coordinate system but there are in general four different coordinate systems in LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$ :

- Global coordinate system
- This is the default system in LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$ and is used for geometry, boundaries, loads etc.
- Local element coordinate system
- Each element will have an element system and this is often determined by the node connectivity, see e.g. [MacNeal, 1994]. [Belytschko et al, 81] and [Hallquist, 2006] describes the coordinate system for the Belytschko-Tsay element, the default shell element in LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$. The stress update is performed in this local coordinate system.
- Material coordinate system
- This is the coordinate system that is used with the material properties in order to make the material direction depended.
- Local user defined coordinate system
- The user can specify a local coordinate systems to be used e.g. with load options etc.
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## Introduction

- There are four different coordinate systems in LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$



## Introduction <br> Defining Coordinate Systems

- The way to specify the material coordinate system is based on the different ways to specify coordinate systems in LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$.
- The coordinate systems in LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$ are all Cartesian coordinate systems, following the right hand rule. There is no Cylindrical nor Spherical coordinate systems in LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$.



## Introduction <br> Defining Coordinate Systems

- There is three different ways to defined coordinate systems in LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$ as shown in the following.
- *DEFINE_COORDINATE_NODES
- Three nodes (N1, N2, N3) are given
- Local x is from N1 to N2
- A vector $\alpha$ is given from N1 to N3 (named $\bar{y}$ in the manual)
- Local $z$ is calculated as $x \times \alpha$
- Local y is then given by $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{z} \times \mathrm{x}$


Definition of coordinate system [Hallquist, 2007].

## Introduction <br> Defining Coordinate Systems

- *DEFINE_COORDINATE_SYSTEM
- This specification is very similar to the one used at *DEFINE_COORDINATE_NODES. Instead of three nodes, there points are given as input. The coordinates for each point is specified.
- N 1 is given by ( $\mathrm{XO}, \mathrm{YO}, \mathrm{ZO}$ )
- N 2 is given by (XL, YL, ZL)
- N3 is given by (XP, YP, ZP)
- *DEFINE_COORDINATE_VECTOR
- Two vectors are given, $x$ and $\alpha$ ( $x-y$ in manual). For both vectors, origin is $(0,0,0)$ so only one point is specified for each vector.
- Vector x is taken as local x
- Local z is calculated by $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x} \times \alpha$
- Local y is calculated by $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{z} \times \mathrm{x}$


Definition of coordinate system [Hallquist, 2007].

## Introduction

Invariant Node Numbering

- For the default shell element in LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$, type 2 shell, the local element $x$-axis is in the direction given by node 1 to node 2 . The local element coordinate system is based on this axis and the shell normal, see e.g. [Belytschko et al, 81] . This means that for irregular shaped elements, the results can change if the connectivity for the element changes.
- Since direction depended materials local material coordinate system is tied to the element coordinate system, it is important to make it independent of change in the connectivity.
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## Introduction

## Invariant Node Numbering

- This can be handled by the option Invariant Node Numbering, specified by the INN flag at *CONTROL_ACCURACY.
- INN=1: Off
- INN=2: On for shells
- INN=3: On for solids
- INN=4: On for shells and solids
- The option defines two vectors in the plane of the shell, $\xi$ and $\mu$. They each connect the mid-side points of opposite shell edges. Halfway in between these two vectors, the vector $\varphi$ is located and the local element $x$-axis is taken $45^{\circ}$ to one side of this vector. The local element $y$-axis is given as $45^{\circ}$ to the opposite side of the $\varphi$ vector.
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## Introduction <br> Invariant Node Numbering

- This means that the the local element coordinate system rotates exactly $90^{\circ}$ when an element is renumbered.

- The Invariant Node Numbering also helps so there is less influence from hourglassing on the material directions and it helps for long time periods models more stable [Hallquist, 2007].
- The CPU penalty is less than 5\% [Hallquist, 2007].
- It is only implemented for shells $1,2,5,7,9,10,11$ and 16.
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## Introduction <br> Invariant Node Numbering

- What has been shown is only for shell elements.
- For solid elements the invariant option also exist but is somewhat more complicated:
- There vectors are defined from the center of one face to the center of the opposite face.
- Cross products are made from these vectors.
- Dot products are made between the cross products and the vectors and testing are done to make an orthogonal system.
- It can take some iterations to achieve the orthogonal system.


## AOPT for Shells

## AOPT For Shells

- For shell elements the shell normal is always taken as the c material direction. And the $a$ and $b$ directions are forced to be in the plane of the shell. This is ensured by projections of the axis into the shell plane.
- There are four of the AOPT options that are valid for shell elements:
- AOPT=0
- AOPT=2
- AOPT=3
- AOPT=LT. 0
- These are shown in the following. As mentioned earlier additional options can be specified besides the AOPT option to rotate the material coordinate system. This is shown in a later section.
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## AOPT For Shells

- $\mathrm{AOPT}=0$
- This is the default option and it will not require any further input.
- The a axis is taken as the vector between node 1 and node 2 in the specification of the element.
- The $c$ direction is the shell normal direction. The $b$ direction is formed $a s b=c \times a$.

- The dependency of the element connectivity means that one should be very careful with the connectivity for the elements since that directly influence the material directions.


## AOPT For Shells

- $\mathrm{AOPT}=2$
- A vector A is given as input.
- The c axis is taken as the shell normal.
- Material axis $b$ is then found by $b=c \times A$
- The a axis is calculated as $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{c}$
- This option is global orthotropic since the material system is based on global entities.
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## AOPT For Shells

- $\mathrm{AOPT}=3$
- A vector V and an angle BETA is given.
- The material axis a is defined by $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{n}$ where n is the shell normal
- Material axis $b$ is then found from $b=n \times a$
- The BETA angle rotates this coordinate system from the a-axis.
- This option is local orthotropic since it involves local entities, here the shell normal.
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## AOPT For Shells

- $\quad$ AOPT=LT. 0
- The absolute value of AOPT is the coordinate system ID (CID) for the specified coordinate system to be used.
- The coordinate system can be specified by: (see earlier slides)
- *DEFINE_COORDINATE_NODES
- *DEFINE_COORDINATE_SYSTEM
- *DEFINE_COORDINATE_VECTOR
- For the case where the coordinate system not is in the shell plane, the axis will be projected down into the plane.
- At the end of this presentation are given several examples of these different options.


## AOPT for Solids

## AOPT For Solids

- All of the AOPT options (6) are valid for solid elements:
- AOPT=0
- $\mathrm{AOPT}=1$
- $\mathrm{AOPT}=2$
- $\mathrm{AOPT}=3$
- $\mathrm{AOPT}=4$
- $\mathrm{AOPT}=\mathrm{LT} .0$
- These are shown in the following. As mentioned earlier additional options can be specified besides the AOPT option to rotate the material coordinate system. This is shown in a later section.


## AOPT For Solids

AOPT=0

- This is the default option and it will not require any further input.
- The a axis is taken as the vector between node 1 and node 2 in the specification of the element.
- A vector D is given as the vector between node 1 and node 4 . The c axis is then calculated as $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{D}$.
- The $b$ direction is formed $a s b=c x a$.
- This means that one should be very careful with the connectivity for the elements since that directly influence the material directions.
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## AOPT For Solids

- $\mathrm{AOPT}=1$
- A point $P$ is given ( $X P, Y P, Z P$ )
- The a direction is from $P$ to the center of the element.
- The $c$ direction is given by $c=a \times d$ where $d$ is parallel to the global z axis.
- The $b$ direction is then determined by $b=c \times a$.
- This option is local orthotropic since the material system is based on local entities.
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## AOPT For Solids

- $\mathrm{AOPT}=2$
- Vectors $a$ and $d$ are given as input.
- The a direction is given directly by vector $a$
- The $c$ direction is then determined as $c=a \times d$
- $b$ is calculated as $b=c \times a$
- This option is global orthotropic since the material system is based on global entities.
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## AOPT For Solids

- $\mathrm{AOPT}=3$
- A vector $v$ and an angle BETA is given.
- The material axis $a$ is defined by $a=v \times n$, where $n$ is the normal of a midsurface determined by the node connectivity.
- Material axis $b$ is then found from $b=n \times a$
- The BETA angle rotates this coordinate system from the a-axis.
- This option is local orthotropic since it involves local entities.

- The $a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}-c^{\prime}$ coordinate system is the final used one


## AOPT For Solids

- $\mathrm{AOPT}=4$
- A vector $v$ and a point $P$ is given. The defines the axis of symmetry
- The material axis c is the radial axis from this symmetry axis to the element center.
- The material axis $a$ is defined by $a=v \times c$.
- Material axis $b$ is then found from $b=c \times a$
- This option is local orthotropic since it involves local entities.



## AOPT For Solids

- $\quad$ AOPT=LT. 0
- The absolute value of AOPT is the coordinate system ID (CID) for the specified coordinate system to be used.
- The coordinate system can be specified by: (see earlier slides)
- *DEFINE_COORDINATE_NODES
. *DEFINE_COORDINATE_SYSTEM
. *DEFINE_COORDINATE_VECTOR
- At the end of this presentation are given several examples of these different options.


# Rotation of the Coordinate System 

## Rotation of the Coordinate System

## Introduction

- The AOPT gives the initial orientation of the material and this can then be rotated. The are several places this can be done.
- The rotation is rotating the coordinate system around the $c$-axis. The rotation is counter clockwise and the angle is given in degrees.

- For $\mathrm{AOPT}=3$ a rotation angle can be given directly using the BETA option.
- The options that can be used are different for shells and solids, as will be shown in the following.


## Rotation of the Coordinate System

## Solids

- For solid elements there are two places that the rotation angle can be specified:
- *MAT_

For AOPT set to 3, an angle BETA is given.

- *ELEMENT_SOLID_ORTHO

A new material system can be defined using the a and d vector, however if the $d$ vector is given as zero length then the given A1 rotates the $a-b-c$ system defined at the *MAT_ card. It will over the BETA angle given at *MAT_ card if any.

## Rotation of the Coordinate System

Solids - Example

- The set-up is three single solid elements that are in uniaxial tension. The loading direction is in global X direction. The loading is done by prescribing displacement to four nodes. The opposite surface of the solid is fully constrained.

Global Coordinate System

$$
\square=\text { Local Material Coordinate System }
$$

## Rotation of the Coordinate System

Solids - Example

- The three solid elements uses the same values for the material parameters. However the $a-b-c$ coordinate system is rotated in different directions, leading to different material directions:
- Case A: No BETA is used at *MAT_ and direction a direction is global X direction.
- Case B: BETA at *MAT_ is given as -90 deg so a direction is opposite to the global $Y$ direction.
- Case C: No BETA is set at *MAT_ but A1 is set to -90 deg at *ELEMENT_SOLID_ORTHO (and d is zero length) leading to the same material coordinate system as in Case B.


## Rotation of the Coordinate System Solids - Example

- Specification for CASE A (a-b-c given at *MAT_):

| *MAT_COMPOSITE_DAMAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$A mid | ro | ea | eb | ec | prba | prca | preb |
| 1 | 5.0000E-9 | $1.3200 \mathrm{E}+5$ | 10755.000 | 10755.000 | 0.019000 | 0.019000 | 0.490000 |
| \$\# gab | gbc | gca | kfail | aopt | macf |  |  |
| 5653.0000 | 3378.0000 | 5653.0000 | 0.000 | 3.000000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| \$\# xp | yp | zp | a1 | a2 | a3 |  |  |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| \$\# v1 | v2 | v3 | d1 | d2 | d3 | beta |  |
| 0.000 | 1.000000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |
| \$\# Sc | xt | yt | yc | alph | sn | syz | szx |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

- Specification for CASE B ( $a-b-c$ given at *MAT_ together with BETA):

| *MAT_COMPOSITE_DAMAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$B mid | ro | ea | eb | ec | prba | prca | prcb |
| 2 | $5.0000 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $1.3200 \mathrm{E}+5$ | 10755.000 | 10755.000 | 0.019000 | 0.019000 | 0.490000 |
| \$\# gab | gbc | gca | kfail | aopt | macf |  |  |
| 5653.0000 | 3378.0000 | 5653.0000 | 0.000 | 3.000000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| \$\# xp |  | zp | a1 | a 2 | a3 |  |  |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| \$\# v1 | v2 | v3 | d1 | d2 | d3 | beta |  |
| 0.000 | 1.000000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 90.000000 |  |
| \$\# sc |  |  |  | alph | sn | syz | szx |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

The strength values are taken from [Chatiri et. al, 2010]
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## Rotation of the Coordinate System

## Solids - Example

- Specification for CASE C ( $a-b-c$ given at *MAT_ and an angle A1 is given at the *ELEMENT_SOLID_ORTHO CARD):


The strength values are taken from [Chatiri et. al, 2010]

## Rotation of the Coordinate System

## Solids - Example

- The strongest direction is the a direction and it is then expected that the largest stress will be when the a direction is in the loading direction which is global X . This is the case for Case A. It also expected that the Case B response is identical to the response of Case C.
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## Rotation of the Coordinate System <br> Shells

- For shell elements there are four places that the rotation angle can be specified:
- *MAT-

For AOPT set to 3, an angle BETA is given. From now named BETA_MAT.

- *SECTION_SHELL

If ICOMP is set to 1 , a rotation angle can be given for each through the thickness integration point. This is only valid for a certain number of materials [Hallquist, 2007b]:
22, 23, 33, 34, 36, 40, 41-50, 54-56, 58, 59, 103, 116 and 194. This angle is now named BETA_SECTION.

- *ELEMENT__SHELL_BETA

The angle is given directly by BETA. The angle is now named BETA_ELEMENT. It overrides the angle BETA_MAT but is added to the angle BETA_SECTION.
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## Rotation of the Coordinate System <br> Shells

- For shell elements there are four places that the rotation angle can be specified, continued:
- *ELEMENT_SHELL_MCID

An coordinate system ID is given by the MCID flag. The rotation angle is then the angle between the projected $x$-axis to the shell surface and the side 1-2 determined by the element connectivity. This angle is now named BETA_ELEMENT. It overrides the angle BETA_MAT but is added to the angle BETA_SECTION.

- This means that one have to be careful specifying the angles since some overwrites and some are cumulative. The table on the next slide shows a table that summaries the options.
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## Rotation of the Coordinate System

Shells

Rotation of the material coordinate system

| BETA_MAT | BETA_SECTION | BETA_ELEMENT | RESULT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | BETA_MAT <br> + <br> BETA_SECTION |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | BETA_ELEMENT |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | BETA_SECTION <br> + <br> BETA_ELEMENT |
|  |  |  | BETA_SECTION <br> + |
| BETA_ELEMENT |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { BETA_MAT } & \text { : Given at the *MAT_card } \\ \text { BETA_SECTION } & \text { : Given at the *SECTION_SHELL card } \\ \text { BETA_ELEMENT } & \text { : Given using *ELEMENT_SHELL_BETA or *ELEMENT_SHELL_MCID }\end{array}$
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## Rotation of the Coordinate System

Shells - Example 1

- The set-up is a single elements in uniaxial tension. The loading direction is in global $X$ direction. The loading is done by prescribing displacement to two nodes. The opposite side of the shell is fully constrained.
- The element uses *MAT_COMPOSITE_DAMAGE and AOPT=3 where the BETA_MAT is set to $-90^{\circ}$. The shell has four integration points and ICOMP is set to 1 at the *SECTION_SHELL card where four BETA_SECTION angles are given as $0^{\circ},-90^{\circ},-180^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$. No angle is set at the *ELEMENT_SHELL card.
- The material coordinate systems are then (with the given material - see next slide):



IP 2


IP 3


IP 4

## Rotation of the Coordinate System

## Shells - Example 1

- The specification for *MAT and *SECTION is given as:

- This means that it can be expected that the results for IP 1 are the same as the results found for IP 3. The same is the case for IP 2 versus IP 4, they are expected to be the same.

The strength values are taken from [Chatiri et. al, 2010]
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## Rotation of the Coordinate System

Shells - Example 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{a}^{I P 1}=\sigma_{a}^{I P 3}, \quad \sigma_{b}^{I P 1}=\sigma_{b}^{I P 3} \\
& \sigma_{a}^{I P 2}=\sigma_{a}^{I P 4}, \quad \sigma_{b}^{I P 2}=\sigma_{b}^{I P 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The set-up is:



## Rotation of the Coordinate System

Shells - Example 1


$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=I P 1 \\
& B=I P 2 \\
& C=I P 3 \\
& D=I P 4
\end{aligned}
$$

- The results are as expected.

- The example shows that the BETA_MAT and BETA_SECTION are cumulative, else e.g. the results from IP 1 and IP 2 would be identical, since BETA_MAT is the same as BETA_SECTION.
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## Rotation of the Coordinate System

## Shells - Example 2

- The set-up is the same as used in Example 1 so one single shell element constrained in one end and pulled in the other.
- The element uses *MAT_COMPOSITE_DAMAGE and AOPT=3 where the BETA_MAT is set to $45^{\circ}$. The shell has there integration points and ICOMP is set to 1 at the *SECTION_SHELL card where three BETA_SECTION angles are given as $0^{\circ},-90^{\circ}$ and $-45^{\circ}$. The angle at the ELEMENT_SHELL card is set to 90.
- The material coordinate systems are then:



## Rotation of the Coordinate System

Shells - Example 2

- The specification for *MAT, *SECTION and *ELEMENT is given as:

NEEDS MORE WORK

- This means that it can be expected that the results for IP 1 are the same in magnitude as the results found for IP 3. The same is the case for IP 2 versus IP 4, they are expected to be the same.

The strength values are taken from [Chatiri et. al, 2010]
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## Rotation of the Coordinate System

Shells - Example 2

$$
\sigma_{a}^{I P 1}=\sigma_{b}^{I P 2}, \quad \sigma_{b}^{I P 1}=\sigma_{a}^{I P 2}
$$

## Rotation of the Coordinate System

Shells - Example 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=I P 1 \\
& B=I P 2 \\
& C=I P 3 \\
& D=I P 4
\end{aligned}
$$

- The results are as expected.
- The example shows that the BETA_MAT and BETA_SECTION is added, else would e.g. the results from IP 1 and IP 2 be identical, since BETA_MAT is the same as BETA_SECTION.


## Pre and Post-processing in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$

## Displaying the Coordinate System in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$

- In LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ it is possible to show the material coordinate system that is defined in the input deck.
- This is done using the Ident button at Page 1 (when input deck is loaded):
- Select Element
- Select Type
- Select Mat Dir
- Use e.g. All Vis and $a-b-c$ coordinate system is shown on the screen for each element.
- The screen is shown in next slide.



## Displaying the Coordinate System in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$




## Qisplaying the Coordinate System in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$



- As shown in the last section, shell elements can have a different material coordinate system for each integration point. In order to show these one will have to toogle between the int.Pt
- Page 1:
- Ident -> Element -> Shell -> Mat Dir
- Setting -> Surface -> IntPt -> Toogle between int.Pt and use Aply to apply
$\qquad$
- Axes/Surface
$\diamond$ Disp. scale facto
$\diamond$ Reflections
$\diamond$ Hic/Csi const.
$\diamond$ SPH/Particle
$\diamond$ Thickness Scale Factor
$\diamond$ Fringe Scale Factor $\diamond$ Concrete Crack Width $\diamond$ Local Coord System $\square$ FLD E'Strain $\square$ Local B'Strain


Roll Down Menu with options.
Maxima is default and must be changed to IntPt for integration points

Aply is used to apply selected integration point.

## Displaying the Coordinate System in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$

For shell example 1 in the last section, the four shown coordinate systems are then shown as:


## Plotting $a-b-c$ results in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$

- When using a material coordinate system one also would like to postprocess the stresses in this local material coordinate system. This is not done by default but has to be specified.
- This is done by using the CMPFLG flag at the *DATABASE_EXTENT_BINARY card.
- The stresses and strains in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ are then:
- Component in a direction is found by plotting results for $X$ direction.
- Component in $b$ direction is found by plotting results for $Y$ direction.
- Component in $c$ direction is found by plotting results for $Z$ direction.
- The labels in the fringe and history plots will not change when plotting.
- The "Global/local" button in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Page 1 - bottom) has no relevance for the material coordinate system. That is for plotting results in the local element coordinate system.

| *DATABASE_EXTENT_BINARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$\# neiph | neips | maxint | strflg | sigflg | epsflg | rltflg | engflg |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$\# cmpflg | ieverp | beamip | dcomp | shge | stssz | n3thdt | ialemat |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$\# nintsld | pkp_sen | sclp | unused | msscl | therm | intout | nodout |
| 0 | 0 | 1.000000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

## Examples

## Examples <br> AOPT=2

- In this example is modeled four single shells, which are fully constrained at one side and pulled at the opposite side. They all have different specification as shown in the following.
- The shell is aligned so the maximal stress is in the global $Y$ direction and the local element x axis ( $\mathrm{X}_{\text {element }}$ ) is in the global X direction. The element connectivity is defined so that the shell normal ( $n$ ) is in the global $Z$ direction.



## Examples

## AOPT=2

- The material model used is *MAT_COMPOSITE_DAMAGE (*MAT_022).
- The four different cases all uses $\operatorname{AOPT}=2$ and has the same initial a,b,c material coordinate system. Different options are then used to rotate this coordinate system, in all cases the angle is $90^{\circ}$. The four cases are:
- CASE A: No rotation
- CASE B: The rotation is done by used ICOMP=1 and specifying BETA for each integration point at *SECTION_SHELL.
- CASE C: One BETA angle is given at *ELEMENT_SHELL_BETA
- CASE D: The BETA angle is calculated by LS-DYNA ${ }^{\circledR}$ by using a local coordinate system, which x-axis is projected down to element surface in order to calculate BETA. This is specified at *ELEMENT_SHELL_MCID. The option is new in the 971 version.


## Examples

## AOPT=2

Case A:

- $A O P T=2$ is used with $a_{\text {given }}=(1,0,0)$. This gives the following local material coordinate system directions $a, b, c$ :
- $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{Z}$
- $b=c \times a_{\text {given }}=Y$
- $a=b \times c=X=a_{\text {given }}$
- With the max deformation, the maximum stress is in the global Y , which is the material direction b. Since CMPFLG is set to 1 , the stresses are outputted in the material directions in the d3plot database. In LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$, there stresses are $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{c}$. This means that in this case the maximum stress in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ should be $\sigma_{\gamma}$.
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## Examples <br> AOPT=2

Case A:

- The results from LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ shows that the maximum stresses are in the global Y direction:



## Examples

Case B:

## AOPT=2

- AOPT $=2$ is used with $\mathrm{a}_{\text {given }}=(1,0,0)$. This gives the same local material coordinate system directions as in Case A, however now this system is rotated $90^{\circ}$ by setting ICOMP $=1$ and specifying B1 $=\mathrm{B} 2=\mathrm{B} 3=90^{\circ}$ at *SECTION_SHELL, resulting in the $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}, \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ material coordinate system.

| *SECTION_SHELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$\# | secid | elform | shrf | nip | propt | qr/irid | icomp | setyp |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1.000000 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |
| \$\# | t1 | t2 | t3 | t 4 | nloc | marea | idof | edgset |
|  | 800000 | 3.800000 | 3.800000 | 3.800000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 90. | 90. | 90. |  |  |  |  |  |

 the material direction $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$. This means that in this case the maximum stress in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ should be $\sigma_{x}$.
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## Examples <br> AOPT=2

Case B:

- The results from LS-PrePost ${ }^{\text {}}$ shows that the maximum stresses are in the global X direction:



## Examples

## AOPT=2

Case C:

- $\mathrm{AOPT}=2$ is used with $\mathrm{a}_{\text {given }}=(1,0,0)$. This gives the same local material coordinate system directions as in Case $A$ and $B$, however now this system is rotated $90^{\circ}$ by setting BETA $=90$ at *ELEMENT_SHELL_BETA.

- With the max deformation, the maximum stress is in the global $Y$, which is the material direction $a^{\prime}$. This means that in this case the maximum stress in LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ should be $\sigma_{x}$.
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## Examples <br> AOPT=2

Case C:

- The results from LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ shows that the maximum stresses are in the global X direction:
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## Examples

Case D:

$$
\mathrm{AOPT}=2
$$

- $\mathrm{AOPT}=2$ is used with $\mathrm{a}_{\text {given }}=(1,0,0)$. This gives the same local material coordinate system directions as in Case A, B and C, however now this system is rotated $90^{\circ}$ by *ELEMENT_SHELL_MCID to obtain the $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}, \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ material coordinate system.

| *ELEMENT_SHELL_MCID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | eid | pid |  | n1 |  | n2 | n3 | n4 | n5 |  | n6 | n7 | n8 |
|  | 4 | 4 |  | 13 |  | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} \text { ' , , , } 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *DEFINE_COORDINATE_NODES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$\# | cid |  | n1 |  | n2 |  | n3 | flag |  |  |  |  |  | dir |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  | 14 |  | 15 |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- This option projects the $x$-axis of a given local coordinate system down to the shell surface resulting in $\mathrm{x}_{\text {projected }}$. Then a rotation angle, BETA, is calculated between this projected axis and the local element axis, $\mathrm{x}_{\text {element }}$. This BETA angle is now used to rotate the a, b, c material coordinate system around the shell normal giving the resulting $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}, \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ material coordinate system. This option is new in the Is971 version of LS-DYNA ${ }^{\oplus}$.


## Examples

## AOPT=2

- The defined local CID has $x_{\text {local }}=Y, y_{\text {local }}=-X$ and $z_{\text {local }}=Z$ as shown in the figure. The angle BETA between $X_{\text {projectet }}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\text {element }}$ is calculated to $90^{\circ}$, which then rotates the $a, b, c$ material system into the $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$ material coordinate system.



## Examples

AOPT=2
Case D:

- The results from LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ shows that the maximum stresses are in the global X direction as expected:



## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

- In this example is modeled four single shells, which are fully constrained at one side and pulled at the opposite side. They all have different specification as shown in the following.
- The shell is aligned so the maximal stress is in the global $Y$ direction and the local element $x$ axis ( $\mathrm{x}_{\text {element }}$ ) is in the global X direction. The element connectivity is defined so that the shell normal ( $n$ ) is in the global $Z$ direction.



## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

- The material model used is *MAT_COMPOSITE_DAMAGE (*MAT_022).
- The four different cases all uses AOPT=|-CID|, given at the *MAT_COMPOSITE_DAMAGE card.
- The four different shells use four different local coordinate systems that are to be projected down to the element surface.
- The material coordinate system $a, b, c$ is determined from the projected $\mathrm{x}_{\text {local }} \mathrm{y}_{\text {local }} \mathrm{z}_{\text {local }}$ system as:
- $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{x}_{\text {local, projected }}$
- $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{n}$ (shell normal)
- $b=c x a$


## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

- The defined local CID (CID=1) has $X_{\text {Iocal }}=X, Y_{\text {local }}=Y$ and $z_{\text {local }}=Z$ as shown in the figure. This means that the material coordinate system a, b, c has same directions as the global $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$ coordinate system.



## Case A:

## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

- The maximum stress is in global Y , which is the b material direction. Therefore, the maximum stress is in the $Y$ direction in LS-PrePost®. The results from LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ confirm this.


Case B:

## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

- The defined local CID (CID $=2$ ) has $X_{\text {local }}=Y, y_{\text {local }}=-X$ and $z_{\text {Iocal }}=Z$ as shown in the figure. This means that the material coordinate system a, b, c is $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{b}=-\mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{Z}$.



## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

Case B:

- The maximum stress is in global Y , which in this case is the a material direction. Therefore, the maximum stress is in the $X$ direction in LSPrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$. The results from LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ confirm this.
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## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

- The defined local CID (CID $=3$ ) has $X_{\text {local }}=X, y_{\text {local }}=-Z$ and $z_{\text {Iocal }}=Y$ as shown in the figure. This means that the material coordinate system $a, b, c$ is $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{Z}$.



## Case C:

## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

- The maximum stress is in global $Y$, which in this case is the $b$ material direction. Therefore, the maximum stress is in the $Y$ direction in LSPrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$. The results from LS-PrePost ${ }^{\circledR}$ confirm this.
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## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

- The defined local CID (CID=4) is rotated 45 counterclockwise around the shell normal as shown in the figure. This means that the material coordinate system a, b, c has same directions as the local defined coordinate system.
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## Case D:

## Examples

## AOPT=|-CID|

- The maximum stress is not in any of the main directions but is in an off angle direction.
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